

Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments - Foreword, page 3</u>]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA - The basic principles, page 4]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA - The basic principles, page 4]





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessmentsidentifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head Teacher

- Ensures that the centre's *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking
- Ensures the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensures the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year
- Where applicable, liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit for GCSE (9-1) Computer Science

Curriculum Coordinator

- Confirm with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensure appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Works with subject teachers to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Head of Centre

- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website

- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

Where required by the awarding body's specification





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

ICT Manager





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component
- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking - internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Curriculum Coordinator

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - feedback

Head of Centre

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs and disabilities coordinator (SENDCo)





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access</u>
 <u>Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
 - is unable to participate in an assessment for medical reasons
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head Teacher and Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u>
 Assessments: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material</u> <u>and candidates' work</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head Teacher

 Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Head of centre

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

 Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u> (<u>Information and guidance to centres</u>)





Policies and Procedures
Non-Examination Assessment Policy
April 2017

- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to nonexamination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head Teacher

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Curriculum Coordinator

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria

Head of Centre

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings





Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate	Action by
	risk	_
Task setting		6 1 : 1
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for	Subject
failure/corruption of task	accessing/downloading set task noted prior to	teacher
details where set task	start of course	
details accessed from the	IT systems checked prior to key date	
awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct	
	email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject teachers access	Curriculum
teacher fails to meet the	awarding body training information, practice	Coordinator
assessment criteria as	materials etc.	
detailed in the specification	Records confirmation that subject teachers	
	understand the task setting arrangements as	
	defined in the awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set	
	task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's	Subject
understand the marking	marking criteria described in the specification	teacher
criteria and what they need	that is not specific to the work of an	
to do to gain credit	individual candidate or group of candidates is	
	produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand	
	the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand	
	the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan -	
absence during the task	Teaching staff extended absence at key	
setting stage	points in the exam cycle	
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to	
given to candidates	distinguish between requirements/tasks for	Head of
undertaking new	legacy specifications and requirements/tasks	centre
specification	for new specifications	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this	
	issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set	Subject
issued to candidates on time	task as detailed in the specification noted	teacher
	prior to start of course	
	Course information issued to candidates	







		April 2017
	contains details when set task will be issued	
	and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow	
	time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information	
candidates	taken from the awarding body's specification	Subject
	confirms the correct task will be issued to	teacher
	candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this	
	issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan -	
absence during the issuing	Teaching staff extended absence at key	
of tasks stage	points in the exam cycle	ļ
Task taking	,	
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash	Assessment plan identified for the start of the	Head of
with other centre or	course	centre
candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre	
	wide calendar	
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate	Head of
inadequate for candidates to	rooms and IT facilities for the start of the	centre
take tasks under	course	00.1.0.0
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT	
	facilities insufficient for number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in	
	large exam venue at the same time (exam	
	conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and	Head teacher
candidates to enable work	follow the current JCQ publication	Trodu todorior
to be authenticated	Instructions for conducting non-examination	
to be ductioned a	assessments and any other specific	
	instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
	specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their	
	role and responsibilities as detailed in the	
	centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Head of
malpractice prior to	publication Instructions for conducting non-	centre
submitting their work for	examination assessments (chapter 9	Contro
assessment	Malpractice) are followed	
	An internal investigation and where	
	appropriate internal disciplinary procedures	
	are followed	
	are ronowed	







not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher deather and feedback given by subject teacher deather task-taking stage Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher deather task-taking stage At hird party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations as detailed above are provided to candidates and specification Advice and feedback given by subject teacher and feedback given during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component and feedback given during the task-taking stage and feedback g			April 2017
assessment where a candidate is approved for for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher prior to starting on their work apart of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given py gother teacher during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher to record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher to record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher to record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher to record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for and teacher/Head of centre starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for and feedback given by subject teacher to record all advice and feedback given for the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring stubiet to	Access arrangements were	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ	Exams Officer
determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Advice and feedback Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work Candidate claims and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from Candidate consideration for the candidate and information from the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate to and idates a subject teacher Candidate is advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	not put in place for an	publication A guide to the special	
Advice and feedback Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher are interviewed and feedback given to candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given by subject teachers to record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	assessment where a	consideration process (chapter 2), to	
Advice and feedback Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidate sby the subject candicates by the subject cand specification A third party claims that assistance was given to candidate does not reference information from Candidate claims no advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher sto record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher or confirm feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher subject teacher subject teacher or ecord all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher subject teacher subject teacher feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher or ecord all advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher subject teacher subject teacher feedback given during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher confirm mentioring of subject teacher subject teacher and feedback given during the task-taking stage and feedback given during the task-taking s	candidate is approved for	determine the process to be followed to apply	
Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject teacher during assurance procedures and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity. Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work and feedback given prior to starting on their work. Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity. Full records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity full records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity. Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage. A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	arrangements	for special consideration for the candidate	
subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher sto record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	Advice and feedback		
provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage Candidate claims stage Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for	
subject teacher prior to starting on their work Part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher sto record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	appropriate advice and	subject teachers to record all information	Head
starting on their work procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher starting stage Subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is	feedback not given by	provided to candidates before work begins as	teacher/Head
Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	subject teacher prior to	part of the centre's quality assurance	of centre
completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	starting on their work	procedures	
monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher sto record all advice and subject teacher to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		Regular monitoring of subject teacher	
Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher sto record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		completed records and sign-off to confirm	
advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		monitoring activity	
on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher store record all advice and feedback given by subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is Thead teacher/Head of centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims		Full records kept detailing all information and	
and component Candidate claims no advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		advice given to candidates prior to starting	
Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teachers to record all advice and feedback given by subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		on their work as appropriate to the subject	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage Regular monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the taking stage as appropriate to the subject and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		and component	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for subject teacher during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		Candidate confirms/records advice and	
subject teacher during the task-taking stage Subject teacher during the task-taking stage Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification A third party claims that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from Subject teachers to record all advice and feedback guring the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given during the task-taking stage An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		feedback given prior to starting on their work	
subject teacher during the task-taking stage feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification A third party claims that candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	Candidate claims no advice	Ensure a centre-wide process is in place for	Head
task-taking stage task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Table 1 task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage An investigation is conducted; candidates and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is	and feedback given by	subject teachers to record all advice and	teacher/Head
quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification An investigation is conducted; candidates and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information from reference information before work is teacher	subject teacher during the	feedback provided to candidates during the	of centre
Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information from reference information before work is	task-taking stage	task-taking stage as part of the centre's	
completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		quality assurance procedures	
monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		Regular monitoring of subject teacher	
Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		completed records and sign-off to confirm	
feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information from feedback given to candidates during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate sadvice and feedback given during the task- taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		monitoring activity	
taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage Head teacher Head teacher Subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is		Full records kept detailing all advice and	
and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is		feedback given to candidates during the task-	
Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage Head teacher subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		taking stage as appropriate to the subject	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and subject teacher are interviewed and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is teacher		and component	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		Candidate confirms/records advice and	
assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from Subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not reference information before work is		feedback given during the task-taking stage	
candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from Statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is Subject teacher	A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and	Head teacher
teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from **Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given **Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body **Candidate does not reference information before work is **Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given **Candidate does not reference information before work is	assistance was given to	subject teacher are interviewed and	
allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from Confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is	candidates by the subject	statements recorded where relevant	
and specification Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information from reference information before work is teacher	teacher over and above that	Records as detailed above are provided to	
report is submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information from reference information before work is teacher	allowed in the regulations	confirm all assistance given	
Candidate does not Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information from reference information before work is teacher	and specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice	
reference information from reference information before work is teacher		report is submitted to the awarding body	
	Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to	Subject
published source submitted for formal assessment	reference information from	reference information before work is	teacher
	published source	submitted for formal assessment	







		April 2017
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ	
	document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own	
	research, planning, resources etc. is regularly	
	checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to	Subject
references as required	review and re-draft the set out of references	teacher
	before work is submitted for formal	
	assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ	
	document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own	
	research, planning, resources etc. is regularly	
	checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged	Subject
late after formally	for the candidate to catch up	teacher
supervised task taking has		
started		
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to	Head of
centre during the course	determine what can be done depending on	centre/Exams
	the stage at which the move takes place	officer
Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be	Subject
and resources between	assessed are collected in and kept secure	teacher
formally supervised sessions	between formally supervised sessions	
	Where memory sticks are used by	
	candidates, these are collected in and kept	
	secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's	
	network, access for candidates is restricted	
	between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own	Subject
acknowledge sources on	research, planning, resources etc. is checked	teacher
work that is submitted for	to confirm all the sources used, including	
assessment	books, websites and audio/visual resources	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on	
	whether the work of the candidate should be	
	marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from	
	candidate's records, awarding body guidance	
	is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted	







		April 2017
	to the awarding body for the candidate	
Wand and Proceedings		
Word and time limits		T =
A candidate is penalised by	Records confirm the awarding body	Subject
the awarding body for	specification has been checked to determine	teacher
exceeding word or time	if word or time limits are mandatory	
limits	Where limits are for guidance only,	
	candidates are discouraged from exceeding	
	them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information	
	provided to them on word or time limits is	
	known and understood	
Collaboration and group w	ork	
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body	
groups where the awarding	specification has been checked to determine	Subject
body specification states	if group work is permitted	teacher
this is not permitted	Awarding body guidance sought where this	
·	issue remains unresolved	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been	
the authenticity of the work	made aware of the JCQ document Teachers	Head of
submitted by a candidate	sharing assessment material and candidates'	centre
for internal assessment	work	Certere
Tor meeriar assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been	
Candidate plagiarises other	issued with the current JCQ document	
material	Information for candidates: non-examination	
material	assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record that they	
	,	
	understand what they need to do to comply	
	with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments The condidate/a wards is not accounted for	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for	
	assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to	
	the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign	Records confirm that candidates have been	Subject
their authentication	issued with the current JCQ document	teacher
statement/declaration	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	







		April 2017
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Head teacher
Presentation of work	, ·	
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject teacher
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Head of centre/exams officer
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Head of centre
Task marking – externally	<u>-</u>	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Subject teacher
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject teacher
Task marking – internally assessed components		













		April 2017
it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	teacher
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Subject teacher
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject teacher/Exam s officer
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and	Head of centre





	understood by subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary	
	procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan	
absence during the marking	(Teaching staff extended absence at key	
period	points in the exam cycle)	

ISSUED	April 2017
ADOPTED BY WSAPC	April 2017
REVIEWED (annually)	January 2018
REVIEW DUE	September 2018